Inservice Outcomes/Objectives

- Participants will collaborate and share framework approval process.
- Participants will learn how to incorporate new ideas in marketing CTE as a viable option for all students.
- Participants will leave with current and coming changes in OSPI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inservice Outcomes/Objectives</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The extent to which the written outcomes/objectives have been met.</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Quality of the physical facilities.</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Quality of the oral presentation by each instructor.</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Quality of the written materials provided by each instructor.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Participant perception of relevance and quality of the inservice.</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The extent to which the following activities addressed by the inservice have been met:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Opportunities for participants to collect and analyze evidence related to student learning.</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Professional certificate standards.</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. School and district improvement efforts.</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. K-12 frameworks and curriculum alignment.</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Research-based instructional strategies and assessment practices.</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Content of current or anticipated assignment.</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Advocacy for students and leadership, supervision, mentoring/coaching.</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Building a collaborative learning community.</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Comments or suggestions for improving the conference:

- Informative sessions but they were scheduled so tightly against each other that it was difficult to choose. Some of the rooms were a little tight for the sessions The speakers were great, the closing speaker should possibly have been coached-vetted, but it was a valuable message for the district where she had challenges, but was awkward.
- The majority of this conference is compliance. Breakout sessions are not appropriate for this, if really in theory. We all need “all of the information.” Selecting which compliance elements to learn more about and then miss some? Maybe create “required for all” and then “exploratory sessions for pogrom development/growth.”
- I would have liked the Precision Exams session to have been offered more than once. Also, many instructors assumed we all knew who they were and people they were talking about. There are a lot of us newbies here and it would be helpful for instructors to continue to start with the basics.
- Can we put us all in one room and rotate OSPI through…I would love PD but it takes a back seat to OSPI changes.
- Great conference, helpful information.
• **OSPI presentations are super appreciated but more helpful when they include specific details on the “how” - rather than “only the why” (which is also important). Please have any sign ups (including dinner) @ registration to avoid long lines and delays during conference.**

• If Denny had tech skills, he would be dangerous.

• Liked the OSPI focus.

• Plan for more space for certain sessions that of interest to all.

• Technology, too many disruptions/lack of learning.

• More sessions with OSPI...Becky Wallace/Becky McLean.

• Great conference.

• Need OSPI report and critical leg changes presented to ALL.

• The largest room should always be the OSPI, last three rooms were crammed full, very hot. Other than that, very good.

• I am hoping the slides are posted on WACTA or the app.

• Lots of information gathered. Would recommend gathering attendance data and the breakout sessions that were highly attended, consider larger rooms, large group. While the young woman who did the closing has done some good things, the committee needs to review what will be shared.

• High impact sessions in larger rooms, repeat session 2-hour block for “deep dive” areas or pre- conference session

• OSPI report needs to be given to all, maybe at the business meeting during their time slot. This frees up choice in breakouts, ensures all hear it, encourages all to come to business meeting.

• Technology in main room seemed to be a struggle. I would like a general OSPI session so that I am able to attend sessions on other topics such as, Leading PD with instructors, Innovative Programs, how to start Apprenticeships, etc.

• If you’re going to co-produce with WA-CCER, then have more WSL apprenticeship info.

• Thank you for organizing inservice. Very relevant and up-to-date information,

• Good sessions, but rooms were crowded. That being said, great hotel as always.

• Stood for first 2 sessions on Monday. Objective #1 was offered one time in a small room. Started early and was still going when next session was supposed to start. If rooms will be small, anticipate when 2 sessions are needed.

• Great job, especially Denny, Becky, Debra.

• Talked to Inservice Committee.

• Bigger meeting rooms for Becky’s sessions.

• Great conference.

• This should be called the OSPI Compliance Conference. It is a challenge to attend all OSPI sessions. I feel bad for any non-OSPI presenters. When will we be able to have innovation sessions? We need 1-day OSPI and 1-day new ideas. There is never enough space in the rooms, if we just stayed in large room and had OSPI presenters there, we would all fit and hear the same message.

• This was an amazing conference, great sessions.

• Thank you.

• Need bigger meeting rooms, tired of standing, getting old.

• Thank you.

• One large session for OSPI information. Two full days so there is time to attend not just OSPI informational/technical sessions. Give more time for other options.

• Great conference. Things to think about: earlier lunch, anticipate large sessions and schedule them in larger rooms. Need to preview any student presentations was uncomfortable.

• I recommend not have OSPI sessions compete with other sessions, but I think inservice is already on it. Thank you for another great conference.

• The financial information was extremely helpful. I also appreciated the Equivalency session and the Dual Credit session.

• Some sessions needed to be repeated or in a larger space. I missed Dan Tedor’s session on Frameworks because there was zero room,

• Yes. Extend the Spring conference to two and half days. Monday, Tuesday and 1/2 Wednesday.

• Great conference.

• As a new CTE Intern, overwhelming, but well presented.

• This conference was very informative. Well done.

• Best conference yet.

• The closing speaker left a lot to be desired. She was very biased and was very hard to listen to…Next time it might be in everyone’s best interest to screen student speakers.

• A little packed but great topics. One of the better conferences the last couple of years. Thanks for all the hard work organizing these events.

• The sessions on Tuesday needed to be duplicated, or the OSPI update needed to be presented at a general session. Everyone needs to hear the same thing from OSPI.

• Handouts would have been nice. Overheads were hard to read, too small most of them.

• Desperately want OSPI staff to present in general session.

• I enjoyed and learned much at this conference. Good job.
• Please offer the “Hot-Topic” workshops in the larger conference rooms and offer more than once (Budgets/FTE and OSPI updates).
• Marketing Committee did not present anything. Inservice Committee, appears they worked hard to find sessions appropriate for conference. Thank you to everyone that volunteers their time to put on this conference.
• Would have actually liked notes available during the session instead of having to wait until everyone submitted their info. Coaching of closing speaker on bashing an institution.
• Great venue, well done conference.
• Ditch dinner to lower this fee. The conference is way too expensive.
• Lunch panels/speakers should be re-thought. Inservice was good but too many sessions and not enough time. Thanks for all the work.
• Very collaborative group of leaders, organizers and administrators. Thanks to Franciene, Tim and Tess. Thanks to Kevin for the dedication to us all.
• This was a worthwhile conference. I’m going back with a lot of good new information. The only issue that I noticed was that at a majority of the sessions I went to they had technical difficulties and issues with internet access, projectors, generally no power.
• Have OSPI presenter as general session for all, not breakouts. (Becky and TJ for sure)
• Loved John Steach’s message!!!
• At breakfast on Monday, the scrambled eggs did not taste good, like a powdered egg substance.
• Deena Pierott was excellent.
• Best technical conference in years. Nice work.
• Facilities were great, however, technology was a problem in most rooms. Temperature was hot and stuffy in small rooms. Love the networking time. Closing session: Former Camas HS student, she is brave. Did anyone read her speech?
• Thanks
• Loved the location and venue. Offered a variety of presentations and topics. Would love it to be two days. Looking forward to sharing with my staff. Last speaker may have benefited from someone helping her with her speech.
• Thank you for another great conference, lots of take-aways that will help back at my district.
• OSPI update and budget breakouts should be a part of a general session, not separate. Student speaker should have had someone preview her speech. I feel bad for Camas.
• Support the 2-full day concept for next conference.
• Becky Wallace amazes me. I am so impressed by her leadership. I think this was the best conference I’ve attended lately. I learned a lot, sessions were very relevant and those that needed to be were offered twice. Thank you.
• Lots of change without a plan. FTE Changes, Workbased, we need more time on these subjects.
• Do OSPI update in 1 large session, Perkins, Update, Funding, Certification, etc. Decrease breakouts.
• Please do OSPI in one whole conference session on 1st day. For example, OSPI update as an overview then other sessions could go deeper into update subjects. Too any “must do” sessions were offered on Tuesday. Perkins, Budget, OSPI update, enrollment reporting were all offered within 2 sessions times so could not go to all.
• Big rooms for big/relevant topics were better, still had to spend time in the hall for a couple of sessions.
• Need general session for OSPI to share one message to all directors. Give another session for career specialist during that time. Loved dinners out. Great small group networking. Put resources in Google at beginning of conference.
• Some sessions should have been offered more than one time (pre-approval process). Overall, informative conference. Closing speaker was harsh toward her school. I felt bad if Camas staff was in the room.
• Overall, a good conference. I wonder if there are additional ways to share important information from Becky McLean, T.J. and Director Wallace? The speaker on Disrupting Bias was good, (Deena Pierott), I would love to see more speakers on this important topic.
• Very well organized with rooms, meals and door prizes. Career Counselor Sessions and CTE were redundant but titled differently. Opening speaker on Bias would have been helpful to infuse CTE into presentation. Closing speaker would have been great to just hear benefits of CTE and how this CTE program benefitted her.
• Heathman Lodge always a great venue. Appreciate the topics offered in sessions. I would like to see the OSPI Sessions/topics be presented as general session presentations. Not able to get to all important OSPI related sessions, and if do, other info was talked about on group questions in prior sessions and not everyone hears same info. OSPI updates used to be in general session.
• Years ago, OSPI and pertinent updates were done the first day so everyone heard all actual info. Now, with breakouts, often rooms are bursting at seams. Suggest going back to old model but extend to two days. Student presenter: Please “vet” presentation. How unfortunate to “slam” a high school for the purpose of promoting CTA. Sad.
• Room temps were too high, hot. Very disappointed that agenda was posted less than 1-week before conference, tentative agenda posted makes it easier to support bringing people to this conference. Visuals were terrible in some presentations, there should be a briefing doc for attendees to have especially anticipating tech issues. Also good for organization of presentations.
• Why is it that we pay to attend a conference of compliance information that should come through Admin monthly meeting, Updated web sites, Zoom meetings, specific PD for admin.
• Presentation design for fall sessions could be improved with additional fore-thought and planning. Why were the student teachers in training off stage where they couldn’t be seen while we stated “they are the focus”? Why where there so many issues with tech and projectors?
• App was hard to see without going deeper to find what breakout was. Prefer printed SAFS update not descriptive. OSPI updates to large group. Roundtable discussions with program supervisors.